The year 2018 saw a significant transformation in Malaysian domestic politics, with the Pakatan Harapan (PH) coalition winning Malaysia’s 14th general election, and a first time loss for the former ruling coalition Barisan Nasional (BN - National front). Even though the incumbent prime minister, Najib Razak had recently been implicated in a serious corruption scandal involving state investment, it was nonetheless a surprising and stunning victory.

Throughout the campaign, Najib attempted to strengthen his rule by leveraging the powers of the state. Not only did he introduce a draconian legal framework constraining the opposition and critics, but he mobilized the Election Commission to gerrymander electoral boundaries, thus creating more safe seats for the ruling BN coalition. Najib also brought about a growing polarization of society in an attempt to demonstrate that the United Malay National Organisation (UMNO) was the only party able to represent the interests and privileges of the Malay majority. These strategies, however, were not only insufficient to overcome the problems BN faced, but further undermined the legitimacy of the regime. They were perceived as being too authoritarian.

Though PH won convincingly in 2018, the role of prime minister-in-waiting Anwar Ibrahim, the mixed results in delivering its promises, and division within the coalition have led to much uncertainty. An increasing reliance on identity politics by UMNO has been leading to its revitalization, suggesting it still poses a significant threat to PH despite initial speculation that the loss of the election would lead to the party’s destruction. However, the economy and foreign policy remained relatively stable.

1. Introduction

On 9 May 2018, the Pakatan Harapan (Alliance of Hope – PH) coalition, against all odds, won Malaysia’s 14th general election, making Mahathir Mohamad prime minister once again after his previous 22-year tenure (1981-2003). Due to the significance of this event, I will begin this article with an overview of the election results. I will then analyse domestic politics between 2016 and 2018, arguing that, despite an increasing polarization focused on Malay primacy and the co-option of legal and electoral institutions
by Barisan Nasional (National Front BN), the former ruling coalition lost all legitimacy due to its increased authoritarianism and serious corruption scandals. This loss of legitimacy provided the opportunity for a stronger opposition coalition, united under Mahathir, to position itself as the only option for much-needed change. Following this analysis of pre-election politics, I will analyse the extent of the transformation post-election, and argue that the promises of a «Malaysia Baharu» (New Malaysia) have failed to materialize as quickly as expected, in part as a result of BN’s troubled legacy, but also problematic relationships between the constitutive political parties. Furthermore, the government has been facing a daunting opposition in the form of UMNO (United Malay National Organisation) and PAS (Malaysian Islamic Party), who have been leveraging identity politics to maintain support and direct criticism towards PH, providing further challenges to «Malaysia Baharu». Finally, I will provide an overview of changes in economic and foreign policy.

2. Domestic Policy

2.1. The 14th general election

The 14th general election was expected in late 2017, but was delayed until 9 May 2018 following the dissolution of parliament on 6 April with nominations not taking place until 28 April. This election came to be a watershed moment in Malaysia’s political history due to the fact that BN, who had been in power for six decades, was defeated by PH. It lost its majority in parliament, gained its lowest popular vote share ever (33.8%), and retained only two of 12 state governments. Astonishingly, PH, comprised of Parti Keadilan Rakyat (People’s Justice Party – PKR), Parti Prima Bumi Bersatu Malaysia (Malaysian United Indigenous Party – Bersatu), Democratic Action Party (DAP) and Parti Amanah Negara (National Trust Party – Amanah), along with their allies Parti Warisan Sabah (Sabah Heritage Party – Warisan), won the election and formed a government on 10 May with Mahathir returning as prime minister.
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### Table 1 - The 14th general election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Seats won</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH (PKR, DAP, Bersatu, Amanah)</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warisan (Allied to PH)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN (UMNO, MCA, MIC, PBB, SUPP + others)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidariti</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independents</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ‘Dashboard: Pilihan Raya Umum 14’, Election Commission of Malaysia

Throughout election night there were fears that such a transition might not be peaceful; military vehicles were (incorrectly) reported to be in Putrajaya. Najib failed to appear in public, instead calling the UMNO leadership to his house. Media platforms reporting the election results were often blocked, and the Electoral Commission delayed confirmation that PH had surpassed the 112 seats required for absolute majority in the 222-seat parliament. BN also delayed its press conference until the following morning, leading to speculation that the party was attempting to persuade opposition partners in Sabah to leave PH. It was later confirmed that BN tried to persuade PH members to defect by making Islamist and ethnic appeals. As will be demonstrated in the following analysis, UMNO has long made appeals to ethnicity by arguing that it is the only party that can represent Malay interests in a country where politics has always been analysed and practiced in reference to the «race paradigm», with different parties perceived to represent the interests of different ethnicities. It was not just BN trying to prevent Mahathir’s return; the palace delayed...
confirming Mahathir as prime minister.\textsuperscript{14} There were rumours that Wan Azizah Wan Ismail, Anwar Ibrahim’s wife and a senior PKR figure, was instead offered the premiership, which, according to professor Bridget Welsh, points to a resistance inside the system to acceptance of Mahathir’s political mandate.\textsuperscript{15} On his part, Dr Muhamad Nadzri Bin Mohamed Noor argues that the transition came about peacefully as a result of Inspector General of Police Fuzi Harun and Chief Secretary to the Government Ali Hamsa deciding to uphold the results, thus preventing any attempt to declare a state of emergency.\textsuperscript{16}

The 92-year-old Mahathir was sworn in as prime minister, making him the world’s oldest sitting elected leader. Prior to the election, the vast majority of political analysts were sceptical about regime change,\textsuperscript{17} as confirmed by the polls.\textsuperscript{18} On the night itself when the electoral result became known, Najib was reported to be in a state of total disbelief when the results of each constituency were announced.\textsuperscript{19} Especially shocking were BN’s losses in areas traditionally safe for the coalition. Johor is the birthplace of UMNO and is an area where it has always succeeded in the past, due to a strong rural Malay population that constitutes its traditional voters.\textsuperscript{20} However, BN only retained 19 seats, while PH walked away with 36.\textsuperscript{21} In Sarawak, previously considered a BN «vote bank»,\textsuperscript{22} while it managed to hold a major-
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ity, it lost six seats to PKR and DAP. The opposition won 12 out of 31 seats.\textsuperscript{23} These were not just the Chinese-majority constituencies expected to be won by PH due to ethnic-Chinese disillusion with BN’s Malay First focus, but also six Dayak-majority seats - an ethnicity with constitutional privileges that UMNO has generally protected.\textsuperscript{24} Sabah too was considered safe for BN, who had won 22 out of 25 seats in 2013;\textsuperscript{25} in 2018, however, BN managed to retain only ten seats.\textsuperscript{26} These seats were instead picked up by Warisan, PKR and DAP who won 14.\textsuperscript{27} In addition PH maintained its dominance in Penang, Kuala Lumpur and Selangor, where urban and modern voters most focused on change once again chose PH.\textsuperscript{28} This resulted in the party gaining 122 seats, the largest proportion of the popular vote (48%), and winning seven out of 12 state governments (Kedah, Penang, Selangor, Perak, Negeri Sembilan, Malacca and Johor) with an eighth state government won by Warisan (Sabah). BN was down from 133 seats in GE13 to just 79.

While the election result was bad for UMNO, it was even worse for other BN member parties. The Malaysian Chinese Association (MCA) president, Liow Tiong Lai, lost his seat, while his party retained only one seat.\textsuperscript{29} The Malaysian Indian Congress (MIC) president, Subramaniam Sathasivam, also lost his seat, while his party retained just two seats.\textsuperscript{30} In addition, all the key Chinese-based Sabah BN parties collapsed.\textsuperscript{31} PAS managed to win 18 seats and two state governments, those of Terengganu and Kelantan. These are two states which are conservative in their religious outlook and have traditionally chosen PAS due to its policies of making Malaysia more Islamic.\textsuperscript{32} This was not enough to allow it to act as «kingmaker» at the national level, as PAS had hoped to do before the election, because neither PAS nor BN had enough seats to form a majority.\textsuperscript{33}

Following the election the cabinet was constituted by members of all parties within the PH coalition.\textsuperscript{34} PKR President Wan Azizah Wan Ismail was
appointed deputy prime minister to Mahathir, as well as becoming minister of Women, Family and Community Development. PKR was given six cabinet positions, the most notable being that of minister of Foreign Affairs, which went to Saifuddin Abdullah, and that of minister of Economic Affairs, which went to Mohamed Azmin Ali. Bersatu gained six cabinet positions including that of minister of Home Affairs, for Muhyiddin Yassin, and that of minister of Youth and Sports, for Syed Saddiq Syed Abdul Rahman. DAP Secretary-general Lim Guan Eng was made minister of Finance, with DAP members being appointed to six cabinet positions, including that of minister of Transport (Anthony Loke Siew Fook). Amanah was given five positions including that of minister of Defence (Mohamad Sabu – known as Mat Sabu). PH allies Warisan and HINDRAF (Hindu Rights Action Force) were given four positions between them.

2.2. Domestic policy pre-election

2.2.1. The development of the opposition

One of Malaysia’s watershed events was Mahathir leaving UMNO, and later joining PH. He left UMNO after his son Mukhriz was forced to resign as chief minister of Kedah on 3 February 2016.35 This was seen as a reprisal for his criticism of Najib, who stood accused of embezzling money from the country’s 1MDB investment fund into his personal bank accounts.36 As a result of UMNO’s continued support for Najib, Mahathir left the party on 29 February 2016.37 While he did not initially join the opposition, he did begin to build connections with them. On 4 March 2016 he launched a 37-point Citizens Declaration which called for the resignation of Najib and wider institutional changes.38 The launch event was attended by opposition leaders such as PKR Deputy President Azmin Ali and DAP senior leader Lim Kit Siang.39 Anwar had served as Mahathir’s deputy prime minister from 1993 to 1998, but was dismissed and arrested for sodomy – an accusation widely viewed as politically motivated. Not surprisingly, Wan Azizah declined
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to attend due to her and Anwar’s suspicion over Mahathir’s intentions.\textsuperscript{40} Despite this, the meeting represented a reconciliation between those allied to Mahathir and Anwar, and provided an opportunity for the opposition to regather itself around these largely respected figures.\textsuperscript{41} It was important that the opposition did so because, prior to 2016, it became divided after the withdrawal of PAS and the reimprisonment of Anwar, following the 2013 general election. This limited the opposition ability to target rural Malay voters and left them without credible leadership.

Several secret meetings were held between Mahathir and the PH leadership, which concluded that a new political party was needed to strengthen the opposition.\textsuperscript{42} Two parliamentary by-elections in Kuala Kangsar and Sungai Besar on 18 June 2016 served as a warning of the necessity for the opposition to build tighter and more formalized relations among its different parts.\textsuperscript{43} In fact BN managed to comfortably win both seats, despite Mahathir helping the opposition.\textsuperscript{44} This was considered particularly embarrassing as the BN candidate in Kuala Kangsar was observing the Islamic teaching that forbids a widow from going outside the house for four months after the death of her husband.\textsuperscript{45}

Mahathir formed Bersatu on 10 August.\textsuperscript{46} It was officially registered by former UMNO member and former Deputy Prime Minister Muhyiddin Yassin.\textsuperscript{47} On 5 September 2016 Mahathir met Anwar for the first time in 18 years. Anwar recognized the need to include Bersatu in a united opposition if he were to win the Malay vote.\textsuperscript{48} Bersatu announced its intention to join
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the PH coalition in November.\textsuperscript{49} It formed an electoral pact in December,\textsuperscript{50} and formally joined PH 14 March 2017.\textsuperscript{51}

Bersatu came to be a credible alternative to UMNO for Malay voters, due to the party ideology of prioritizing Malay interests.\textsuperscript{52} As mentioned, the ‘race paradigm’ dominates Malaysian politics due to tensions between the different ethnicities in Malaysia (Malay, Chinese, and Indian) and UMNO had gained legitimacy over the 60 years by presenting itself as the true defender of Malay primacy.\textsuperscript{53} UMNO had long argued that Malays would lose their privileges if it ever lost power, and continued to suggest that a government which included the Chinese-dominated DAP would be hostile towards them.\textsuperscript{54} Survey evidence suggests that such concerns resonated strongly with rural Malays, for whom communal interests remain a high priority.\textsuperscript{55} In the past PAS had been a member of the opposition coalition, and could claim to speak for the Malay electorate due to their strong Islamic focus. Since PAS left, however, PH has not been able to convincingly claim that it represents semi-urban and rural Malay interests. Amanah, which split from PAS, has been unable to secure votes from this group. However, Bersatu, led by former UMNO members who had in the past attacked the progressive agenda of PH, could legitimately claim to represent Malay concerns\textsuperscript{56}, making it an essential addition to the PH coalition.\textsuperscript{57} The view that Muhyiddin would be an important factor in seizing Johor,\textsuperscript{58} and Mukhriz would be crucial to PH’s efforts in Kedah, was indeed validated.\textsuperscript{59} The coalition
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implemented a strategy to win over the «Malay heartlands», to cause a «Malay tsunami».  

While these dynamics were essential in the peninsula, also of importance was PH’s ability to spread its appeal into Sabah. In 2016 it was agreed that Warisan, led by Shafie Apdal, would be approached by PH and cooperation was formally agreed in 2018. Shafie had been a senior figure in UMNO before he too was dismissed from the federal cabinet in the split that emerged over 1MDB. Shafie became party leader in September and Warisan quickly gained popularity as it emphasized Sabah nationalism. One of its key demands was the return of 40% of Sabah’s taxes collected by the federal government. By allying with Warisan, PH became more representative in the Eastern state.

It is also my contention that Bersatu’s inclusion contributed to much needed leadership in PH. While Anwar was still in prison, Mahathir - with his 22 years of experience and continuing popularity among many Malaysians - was seen as a credible leader. Indeed, as Professor Welsh highlights, Mahathir’s goals were labelled as a «Saving Malaysia» initiative. This was formalized in July 2017, when PH announced its leadership line-up – Mahathir becoming chairman, Anwar supreme leader, and Wan Azizah president. Mahathir was named prime minister-designate in January 2018 with an agreement that Anwar would be his eventual successor.
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highlighted throughout the campaign, as well as his sacrifice in returning to politics at an elderly age in order to save Malaysia from Najib.  

This is not to say there were not divisions between coalition members, but a coherent message emerged. PH focused on reforming governance along the lines of reformasi (reform), overthrowing Najib, abolishing the Goods and Service Tax (GST) and focusing on the cost of living and the prevention of corruption. Indeed, delaying the election throughout 2017 into 2018 gave PH the opportunity to present its message to the population. There were nationwide road tours and ceramahs (public talks) held by the top leaders and its manifesto, the «Book of Hope», incorporated these messages into a policy plan. It included limiting the number of portfolios politicians could hold – especially the prime minister, who would also be bound by a two-term limit – as well as reducing the size of the prime minister’s department; giving parliament and institutions such as the Malaysian Anti-Corruption Commission (MACC) a greater role; abolishing oppressive legal instruments and making the judiciary more accountable; making elections more transparent; and building an inclusive and moderate nation. While the economy will be discussed later, it is important to note that PH’s focus on the rising cost of living resonated with a large number of voters, as well as, particularly, its emphasis on the GST and UMNO’s self-enrichment. Opposition to corruption and governance reform, therefore, attracted wide support throughout the later stages of the run up to the general election, and there was an opportunity for the opposition to go on the offensive in order to secure votes based on programmatic appeals. The opposition was also helped by the fact that it was aligned with NGOs such as Bersih, which gave PH credibility while emphasising the shortcomings of BN’s governance. Particularly important was the Bersih 5 rally held following the US Department of Justice’s announcement of action against 1MDB in 2016. It began with a nationwide «convoy» from
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October onwards, and culminated in a mass rally of 120,000 participants on 19 November 2016. Of significance was a visibly increased participation by Malay youths, as Bersih had been seen as Chinese dominated in the past. Bersih 5 highlighted the need for free and fair elections, a clean government, and called for the resignation of Najib. PH worked closely with Bersih to mobilize and organize logistics for the rally, which Mahathir attended, and again in 2018 they worked together to increase electoral transparency. This gave PH the opportunity to spread its message to those voters sceptical of its commitment to reform, providing credibility despite Mahathir’s previous lack of commitment to democracy.

2.2.2. Najib’s unpopularity and the proliferation of scandals

BN seemed relatively strong in 2016, when, as noted, it comfortably won two by-elections. Another tangible indicator of BN’s continuing strength was its victory in the May 2016 Sarawak state election. Under Adenan Satem, Sarawak BN won 72 out of 82 seats in the assembly. This included all the majority Muslim constituencies and almost all of the Dayak majority constituencies. Adenan focused on nationalism, with emphasis on regaining rights that had been agreed upon under MA63.
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Despite this outward sign of strength, however, Najib’s popularity was declining.\(^9^0\) The well-documented 1MDB financial scandal\(^9^1\) was not expected to have much impact among rural Malay voters, being seen by UMNO officials as too complex.\(^9^2\) However, the issue escalated throughout the pre-election period, especially after Switzerland, Singapore, and the US Department of Justice (DoJ) began investigations into 1MDB.\(^9^3\) Corruption is hardly a new feature of Malaysian society or politics;\(^9^4\) scandals have mired most prime ministers. Scandals during Najib’s tenure though led to a perception of party individuals enriching themselves on a greater scale than ever before, especially at a time of growing inequality.\(^9^5\) 1MDB was just one of a number of scandals. The lack of government accountability led to electoral backlash, facilitated by the fact there was a viable opposition. Much of the hostility was directed at Najib and his wife Rosmah Mansor, who was spending excessive amounts on luxuries.\(^9^6\) Other UMNO members were unable to distance themselves from the scandal, though some did try.\(^9^7\) Any suggestion that it was a problem for Najib only was met with scepticism, especially as UMNO did not publicly voice any criticism.\(^9^8\)

2.2.3. BN’s (failed) strategies of winning support and maintaining control

BN pursued two strategies in order to win the election – increasing ethnic appeals and an authoritarian tightening of law enforcement. I argue, however, that these strategies were inadequate for deflecting attention from the scandals, as it became clear that UMNO was pursuing them at the expense of programmatic reforms and greater inclusion, which much of the population desired.

Appeals to ethnicity had long been a pillar of legitimacy for BN, but polarization grew during this period. Of note were the events held by the ‘Red Shirts’, led by Sungai Besar UMNO Division Chief Jamal Yunos. They

90. ‘BN’s night of despair and delusion’, The Malaysian Insider, 28 May 2018.
96. ‘Malaysians celebrate the downfall of Rosmah Mansor, the big-spending, reviled wife of ousted PM Najib Razak’, South China Morning Post, 19 May 2018; Sophie Lemière, ‘The Downfall of Malaysia’s Ruling Party’.
97. Kean Wong, ‘Notes from the campaign: election day’s dues’, new mandala, 10 May 2018.
held various publicity stunts and protests throughout 2016 and 2017. Their overall expressed aim was to defend Malay and Islamic rights, but their rhetoric was often the ‘epitome of racism’ and grounded in veiled threats that its followers may lose control and resort to violence.

UMNO also began to more vocally express its desire for Malay Muslim dominance (Ketuanan Melayu), and increasingly pandered to Malay Islamic NGOs like Ikatan Muslimim Malaysia (Malaysian Muslim Solidarity – ISMA), Pekida, and Perkasa. This increasing polarization corresponds with growing concerns of an ‘Arabization’ of Malaysian Islam, with its emphasis on a more exclusivist viewpoint. UMNO began strengthening Islamic religious agencies, and aligned more closely with the Malay rulers and Islamic bureaucracies. At the 2016 UMNO assembly Najib emphasized that the Malay electorate would face dire threats to its special position and to Islam if the PH were to take power, even though Bersatu also claimed to represent the status of the Malays. Mahathir was accused of being manipulated by DAP; which would then lead him to sell out the Malay race and remove their privileges. The mufti of Pahang even went as far as to state that Muslim supporters of DAP were kafir harbi (infidels against whom war can be waged) due to its opposition to hudud (namely those punishments that, under Islamic law, are commanded by God). This was designed to create a siege mentality among Muslim Malays.

This reliance on ethnic and religious identity as a pillar of legitimacy also explained growing linkages between UMNO and PAS, a strategy used
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to give UMNO the upper hand in rural constituencies.\textsuperscript{110} This was not only demonstrated by Najib’s sympathetic consideration of PAS’ plans to introduce hudud,\textsuperscript{111} but, in 2016, by his decision to preside over a 10,000-strong rally in support of Burmese Muslim Rohingya refugees alongside PAS leader Abdul Hadi bin Awang.\textsuperscript{112} In return PAS publicly claimed that it no longer intended to remove BN at the federal level.\textsuperscript{113} Hadi expressed a desire to see PAS govern the states of Kedah, Kelantan, Perak, Selangor, and Terengganu, while leaving the rest for UMNO.\textsuperscript{114} While PAS continued to contest the election independently, BN assumed that any three-way contest would split the anti-incumbent vote and assist in BN’s victory.\textsuperscript{115} This was demonstrated by the BN funding of PAS. The Sarawak Report alleged that top PAS leaders had received millions in cash from UMNO,\textsuperscript{116} and PAS youth leader Nik Abduh discussed how UMNO money helped PAS achieve victory.\textsuperscript{117} Cooperation also extended to informal coordination in some PAS strongholds and policy concessions.\textsuperscript{118}

By focusing on Malay dominance BN did not invest as much effort on programmatic appeals. Najib and other UMNO officials such as Annuar Musa and Ahmad Zahid Hamidi said that there was no anti-UMNO Malay tsunami during the election, instead pointing to the fact they still had strong Malay support of between 46-60%.\textsuperscript{119} A survey by the Merdeka Centre put Malay support for the PH and Warisan at only 25-30%, while PAS was said to have received 30–33% and UMNO 35–40%.\textsuperscript{120} While this was significant, it still represented a decline of Malay support in favour of PH.\textsuperscript{121} This demonstrates that PH’s emphasis of a needs-based approach, rather than a race-based one, was an important factor and that UMNO’s alternative strategy was unsuccessful. As argued by Hew Wei Weng of Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, polarizing tactics were not enough as PH could also
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compete for the votes of pious urban Muslims by mobilizing discourses on political Islam. He used the example of Bangi constituency (in Selangor) where Amanah organized a dialogue featuring Ustaz Nik Omar, the eldest son of the late PAS spiritual leader Nik Aziz. Omar argued that his father had been in favour of dakwah, namely “an “Islamic outreach” towards the broader Muslim community and non-Muslims as well”. Accordingly, taking a stand for inclusiveness, Nik Omar emphasised “the need to engage with broader societies while upholding an Islamic agenda” and stated that, “compared to “inward-looking” PAS, PH a better platform for dakwah”. In this situation, polarizing discourses not only served to alienate still relevant non-Muslim sectors of society, but were also not persuasive enough to capture the majority of the Malay vote, especially as Najib’s declining popularity led to questions about whether he really represented them. Instead, Malay nationalists had a viable alternative in Bersatu, and Islamists could select Amanah and PKR.

BN’s second strategy, namely the tightening of legal enforcement, also failed, as it was not strong enough to cripple the opposition. I argue instead that this strategy undermined BN legitimacy as the new laws became too draconian, upsetting the balance between authoritarian control and democratic legitimacy.

In early June 2016 Najib introduced the National Security Council Act, which allowed him to implement emergency powers at any designated security site. Just one month before the election he introduced the Anti-Fake News Bill. It was perceived by the opposition and civil society to be a tool to restrict criticism of the government, especially in relation to 1MDB. The bill covered social media such as Facebook and WhatsApp – two of the most popular forms of information in Malaysia. In addi-
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tion to its alleged role in side-lining criticisms concerning 1MDB, the Anti-Fake News Act granted BN greater control over information.131 The law was used against Mahathir and PKR Vice-President Rafizi Ramli days before the election; Mahathir was being investigated for claims that his plane had been sabotaged,132 and Ramli for claiming that an opposition candidate was unable to file his nomination papers.133 The law failed to silence the opposition, and instead energized it. Muhyiddin accused BN of leveraging the «fake news» label «as an excuse».134

This message quickly emerged as a promising campaign discourse for PH, which argued BN was curtailing freedom of speech and dissent. Mahathir claimed that the Act was part of the ruling coalition’s «political agenda» and called on BN not to «use this law to cover up the truth».135 The opposition’s promise to repeal the Anti-Fake News Act was popular, and presented a more tolerant coalition.

Older laws were also used throughout this period to create an atmosphere of BN control, but were decried as desperate measures by the opposition. In response to Bersih 5 the Peaceful Assembly Act was used to punish the Bersih leaders.136 The Special Measures Act (SOSMA) was also used to arrest and detain Bersih 2.0 Chairperson Maria Chin,137 who later became an independent MP supporting PH.138

It was not just politicians and opposition activists who were targeted under BN’s tightening grip. Cartoonist Zulkiflee Anwar Haque (Zunar) was arrested for sedition, but actually for his political cartoons lampooning the prime minister.139 The same happened to artist Fahmi Reza for her portrait of Najib as a clown.140 Each of these events drew a great deal of criticism and gave rise to the belief that BN was becoming too authoritarian.
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This was also true in relation to BN’s manipulation of governmental institutions. Posts that were meant to be independent were filled with political appointees. In January 2016 attorney general Mohamed Apandi Ali, who had been an active UMNO member, confirmed that money in Najib’s personal accounts, believed to have come from 1MDB, was from a Saudi donor.141 Another notable example of the BN’s manipulation of governmental institutions was the fact that the Electoral Commission, working under the auspices of the prime minister’s office, completed a re-delineation exercise of the electoral constituencies. The re-delineation, which was done with the evident goal of favouring the electoral prospects of BN, was passed in parliament just five weeks before the election.142 While publicly the opposition and civil society were vocal in their criticism of the BN coalition’s gerrymandering of electoral boundaries in order to create more safe seats, they were unable to initiate any sort of formal debate.143 There was opposition from state governments to the constitutional validity of re-delineation, resulting in stay orders which temporarily prevented the EC from re-delineating boundaries in some states. However, the appeals from the EC against the stay orders were fast-tracked in court, and the state governments were ruled against.144 The EC also allowed for irregularities in the electoral roll and ignored any protests.145 For example, in 2018 it refused to gazette over 100,000 enrolments, and allowed the transfer of military voters into three army camps that did not yet exist.146 Due to registering difficulties in 2013, by 2018 there were 3.6 million eligible citizens not yet registered to vote.147

The Electoral Commission went out of its way in its attempts to assist BN in the election.148 It chose a weekday for the day of the vote, incon-
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veniencing an estimated 1.7-3.5 million voters; voters are required to vote in their constituencies, which can be far from their workplace. The nominations were only confirmed on 28 April, which meant many overseas Malaysians could not return their postal ballots in time. Actions by the EC directed against PH were excessively vindictive and unfair, and, as pointed out by Professor Welsh, this resulted in disgust and outrage. These issues were continuously highlighted by both the opposition and civil society organisations such as Bersih, which served as an unofficial election monitor. According to Bersih activist Chan Tsu Chong, this monitoring helped counter the vote-buying culture and misuse of government resources by shaming the offenders. Considering that reforms were unlikely to take place, Bersih pushed the narrative that the only way to defeat electoral fraud was by voters coming out in overwhelming numbers, to oust the incumbent party.

Domestic policies employed to strengthen BN power were practices involving patronage and distribution of financial incentives. Najib announced the increment of the 1Malaysia People’s Aid (BR1M) funds before the election. He also announced an additional one-year annual increment in the salaries of public servants, and gave cash cards worth RM 53.6 million (US$ 13 million) of public funds to taxi drivers. This allowed for «money politics» to proliferate; UMNO engaged in pay-outs through money raised by resource rents, party holding companies, as well as that laundered from 1MDB. It also spent more during the election itself, especially distributing gifts and food at political rallies. There were 517 accusations of vote-buying, abuse of government machinery, kickbacks, and biased institutions.

While the domestic media was increasingly co-opted by BN in an attempt to silence any critical voice, this did not prevent a proliferation of news concerning the scandals in which BN was involved. Much of the
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traditional media favoured the ruling regime due to various forms of formal and informal ownership.\textsuperscript{159} There was also a crackdown on what was left of independent media. In 2015, access to the \textit{Malaysian Insider} website was blocked to Malaysian users, although it remained accessible for users outside Malaysia. In this situation, the website was forced to shut down a year later for commercial reasons.\textsuperscript{160} The Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC) aggressively monitored the spreading of news and information on the internet and via social media.\textsuperscript{161} Throughout 2016 and 2017, 1375 websites were blocked for allegedly circulating «false contents».\textsuperscript{162} The government then established two portals that could be used for fact-checking and the provision of «accurate» information related to the election.\textsuperscript{163} When launching one of these two portals, rakyat.com, Najib decried the opposition’s focus on 1MDB as ‘fake news’ and published BN’s version of the truth.\textsuperscript{164} The crack-down on social media was also intended to prevent the penetration of international news by limiting the extent to which it was shared.\textsuperscript{165} Popular politicians such as Mahathir, Anwar and Muhyiddin, however, were extremely vocal in criticizing both 1MDB and Najib’s involvement.\textsuperscript{166} During the introduction of the 2017 budget, opposition politicians staged a walkout.\textsuperscript{167} The Public Accounts Committee (PAC), a parliamentary committee tasked with oversight of public accounts, reported major shortcomings and implicated Najib.\textsuperscript{168} It made clear that UMNO had become the vehicle of Najib and his personal enrichment.\textsuperscript{169} The opposition had strong social media accounts, especially in comparison to UMNO’s relatively weak presence, and
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Mahathir presented his speeches on Facebook live. In Malaysia the use of social media, especially Facebook and WhatsApp, proliferated in this period due to the spread of smartphones in geographical areas wider than the urban base that was already well-informed about the scandal. The Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism 2018 report observed that 72% of Malaysians received their news from social media. It was used to spread the message that patronage only benefits those with UMNO links, and no longer the wider Malay community that constituted UMNO’s traditional support. Indeed, Dr Ross Tapsell argues that the main «gossip» in the context of the election was Najib and Rosmah’s personal wealth linked to 1MDB.

3. Domestic Policy Post-Election

3.1. Malaysia Baharu (New Malaysia)

While there was much hope of a new Malaysia becoming a more democratic, transparent and efficiently governed state as promised by PH, I argue that the transformation faced many obstacles throughout 2018. There was initially a great deal of optimism, as represented by the popularity of Tabung Harapan (Hope Fund) – a crowdfund which aimed to reduce Malaysia’s national debt. Thus far, there have been demonstrations of greater democratic credentials which validate this optimism. There was an almost immediate change in the greater freedom of the press; bans against the Sarawak Report and the Medium were revoked by the MCMC. Other limitations imposed by BN were quickly lifted, including that towards cartoonist Zunar who had his travel restrictions lifted. The Anti-Fake News Act was repealed, though it is currently being stalled by the BN-dominated
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There were, at times, remnants of «Old Malaysia» which PH was quick to discourage. Mahathir, for example, was insulted online and a police report filed, but the case was dismissed. The only continuing limitation seemed to be any questioning of the Malaysian monarchy and Islam. One landmark occurrence was that Anwar was released from prison after he received a full pardon. In his first days as a free man he called on PH supporters and other Malaysians to act as watchdogs over the conduct of elected ministers. Mahathir, for example, initially announced his intention to be minister of education, which went against the PH pledge of the PM holding only one portfolio. There was a great amount of criticism, and Mahathir quickly changed course.

The government has also been extremely critical of corruption. Mahathir has made many comments regarding transparency, MACC has been strengthened considerably, and amendments have been made to codes of ethics so that MPs must declare all gifts exceeding RM 500 (US$ 122). The most significant event showcasing this has been the arrest and subsequent charging of Najib. His arrest came less than a week after police announced that 12,000 items of jewellery, 567 handbags and suitcases full of cash were among the list of items seized at raids of properties belonging to him. He was charged with criminal breach of trust and abuse of power concerning SRC international’s fund of RM 42 million (US$ 10,282,850) and the trial is expected in April 2019. After repeated arrests and six court appearances Najib faced 42 charges including counts of money laundering. He has been prevented from travelling, and there have been suggestions that there was enough evidence (or what Mahathir calls an «almost perfect case») to result in successful court prosecutions in early 2019. Notable charges linked to the ongoing 1MDB case have been filed against Goldman Sachs and two
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former executives for their alleged involvement. Rosmah has also been arrested and charged with money laundering after a number of appearances at MACC, one lasting 13 hours. Other UMNO senior officials have not escaped this anti-corruption crackdown. Zahid was arrested on various corruption charges. However, other allegations, such as those against Abdul Taib Mahmud in Sarawak, have been ignored, – allowing the perception that BN officials are being targeted.

There also seems to be a greater movement towards good governance. Lim Guan Eng, who is ethnically Chinese, was given the influential minister of finance portfolio. Tommy Thomas, ethnically Indian and a Christian, was the first ever non-Malay attorney general. These were significant appointments, which, despite others’ misgivings, were not opposed by Mahathir, in spite of his pro-Malay political agenda.

3.2. Limitations of the ruling coalition

Success in transforming Malaysia, however, has been hampered by the limited ability of the ruling coalition with regard to meeting expectations, leadership divisions, the inheritance of BN-leaning institutions, as well as the role of ethnicity. Moreover, many questions surrounded the return of Anwar.

Following an international speaking tour, Anwar marked his return to politics with the PKR MP of Port Dickson vacating his seat. The by-election that resulted was significant for two reasons. First, it showed the limitations of PH’s willingness to advance democracy in Malaysia. There were some vocal criticisms of this process, with some activists arguing it was undemocratic for the seat to be made available for Anwar. Some of the election practices were criticized as being reminiscent of the tactics that UMNO used; the abuse of government assets being one of them. This also occurred at two
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other by-elections held in 2018 – Seri Setia and Balakong.\textsuperscript{195} The second reason for its significance is that Anwar won with a significant majority.\textsuperscript{196} Indeed, the by-election seemed historic in the sense that Mahathir campaigned for Anwar during the by-election, appeared on stage with him for the first time in 20 years,\textsuperscript{197} and pledged that he would step down after two years to allow Anwar to become the next Malaysian prime minister.\textsuperscript{198}

The show of unity on display at Port Dickson, however, masked some problems for PH. Analysts have expressed concern about leaders’ abilities to remain united, given their problematic histories, masked by an anti-incumbent agenda that is no longer required.\textsuperscript{199} Mahathir’s personal relationship with his coalition members, most importantly with Anwar (as well as his wife Wan Azizah and daughter Nurul, a member of parliament), is particularly important. Anwar has good reason to hold misgivings against Mahathir for instigating the cruel treatment he faced during the initial arrests, especially as Mahathir has commented on Anwar’s immoral behaviour and lack of moral fibre.\textsuperscript{200} Anwar has said he would not be comfortable being in Mahathir’s cabinet, based on their past relationship. As noted above, Mahathir has promised that Anwar will be the next prime minister. Originally it was suggested that this would be after two years, but Mahathir seems to have backtracked and has since said it could be longer.\textsuperscript{201} Despite this, Anwar has been conciliatory.\textsuperscript{202} When asked if he trusts Mahathir, he argued that they have to move on. Anwar has stated that it is his job to support Mahathir, and that while it was not easy for him to agree to cooperate with him, he was satisfied that Mahathir had accepted the reform agenda of PH and was atoning for past mistakes.\textsuperscript{203} This also seems to be the case with the relationships between Mahathir and Lim Kit Siang, Lim Guan Eng, Mat Sabu
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and Yunus Ali. They were all arrested during Mahathir’s 1987 Operation Lalang, and were vocal critics during Mahathir’s previous tenure as prime minister. Lim Kit Siang argues that Mahathir is aware of his past mistakes, however, and that all seems to be forgiven between them. Mahathir has admitted that Operation Lalang was primarily political, but that he was an unwilling participant. While some doubt his sincerity, especially as he has not apologized, there has been a demonstration of unity based on the reform agenda and a perceived integrity of Mahathir’s commitment. Despite this, there is an irony that Mahathir has been forgiven, and some concerns that he is now leading the reform movement even though he was authoritarian during his previous tenure as prime minister.

The coalition is also struggling to formulate a collective policy with regards to Malay rights and Islamic values. These were prioritized by Bersatu and reaffirmed at their second annual general assembly in December 2018, but questions remain how these can be reconciled with a progressive agenda. This is demonstrated by the difficulties in ratifying the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD). Initially the government’s intention appeared to be that of ratifying ICERD. Contributing to the progressive agenda, it was hoped that ICERD would serve to progress human rights in Malaysia and advance the creation of an inter-ethnic society. There were vocalized concerns, however, that the values represented by ICERD were against Malay culture and the values of Islam. In fact these concerns appeared to be originated by the seemingly unfounded view that ICERD would undermine the constitutional privileges enjoyed by the Malay Bumiputera (‘sons of the soil’, a term to denote those ‘originally’ from Malaysia) under Article 153. Eventually, the government cancelled its intention to ratify the treaty, due to the backlash received from a proportion of the Malay popula-
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Another indication of the challenge represented by the protection of Malay rights was the debate concerning Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), namely Malaysia’s biggest University, which has a policy of admitting only Bumiputera students. Some have called for the university to be opened to non-Bumiputera, but others have suggested that this change would be too fast. Clearly, the government faces difficulties in accommodating the interests of the non-Malay, who overwhelmingly supported PH and who are hungry for change, while at the same time allaying anxieties of the bulk of the Malay electorate, who are wary of the coalition in power and worried for the perceived loss of privileges.

All this poses problems for the coalition’s unity – especially as Bersatu has been accepting former UMNO members into its ranks. Former UMNO member Mustapa Mohamed, commonly known as Tok Pa, joined Bersatu, and many others have announced their intention to do the same. The concern is that Bersatu will become a dominating, corrupt, and power hungry «UMNO 2.0», which would perpetuate Malay privilege at the cost to other members of the coalition. Mahathir himself has recognized this danger and argued that only «clean» members will be accepted.

There are also former-UMNO stalwarts on the Council of Eminent Persons, an unelected advisory group seen to wield significant power. That the coalition may incorporate a party, Bersatu, which is on its way to become UMNO 2.0, has been suggested as the reason explaining «Princess of Reform» Nurul Izzah’s decision to resign as PKR vice president and relinquish her federal government roles after having pointed out that party-hopping was a «betrayal of mandate given the 9th of May, [which] insults those who are loyal to the cause». Her resignation may also be in response to the divisive PKR internal election beginning on 22 September 2018, which...
focused on who would become deputy president. While both challengers claimed loyalty to Anwar, Azmin Ali, the incumbent, was seen as being closer to Mahathir and harbouring his own ambitions for becoming party president. Azmin won, but Rafizi was appointed vice-president while Saifuddin Nasution Ismail, seen as a Rafizi ally, was retained as secretary-general. Yang Razali Kassim, a Senior Fellow with the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, argues that the PKR internal election unleashed new instability in the party, affecting both its unity PKR and the wider PH coalition. This is especially true as the elections were close and beset by many difficulties such as money politics, dirty tactics, and even physical altercations. All this was seen as testing the party strength and raising questions about PKR's ability to deliver on reformasi, when it could not even keep its internal elections clean.

These concerns involving unity and strength aroused serious scepticism regarding PH’s ability to deliver on some of its promises, as well as convince the population that it was meeting their high expectations. For example, Wan Saiful Wan Jan apologized for failing to keep the promise to abolish the National Higher Education Corporation Fund (PTPTN) loans. He had promised that those who had taken PTPTN loans, which fund higher education, and earn less than RM 4000 (US$ 980) would be able to defer their payments. There was also a failure to ensure a minimum of 30% of executive and legislature positions for women. Mahathir admitted that not all promises can be met – and out of ten chosen in the first 100 days only five were achieved. Some believe that the minor movement towards strengthening human rights and accountability are demonstrative of a lack of political will. This has led to civil society calling for more
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PH accountability as well as criticisms that the government focuses too much on BN’s past wrongdoings and too little on good governance. The suggestion that the current government is at least doing better than the former one is seen as weak justification for failure to deliver on promises. Concerns remain that the coalition does not have the strength or ability to successfully continue to govern in future.

3.3. Viability of BN (and UMNO)

The election results which left BN decimated and UMNO the only real power in the coalition, as well as the arrest of Najib and the criminal charging of some of UMNO’s leadership, made the viability of BN and UMNO a common theme in domestic policy. However, despite their crisis, BN and UMNO, soon after the elections, began to show signs of recovery, mounting an effective opposition to the new government and proving to be an obstacle to PH meeting its promises. Clearly, early perceptions of BN’s irrelevance seem to have been misguided.

Sabah BN parties abandoned the coalition following the results – the United Pasokmomogun Kadazandusun Murut Organisation (UPKO) as early as the night of the election, joining the PWS-Sabah PH Alliance. This was followed by the Liberal Democratic Party (LDP), Parti Bersatu Sabah (PBS), and Parti Bersatu Rakyat Sabah (PBRS). While Sarawak BN retained the state government, the Sarawak parties who had left BN came together in a political alliance, the Gabungan Parti Sarawak (GPS), after Mahathir refused to allow them to join PH. While MIC and MCA have not left BN, there have been significant debates concerning whether they would be best served by remaining in it, especially as their power is significantly reduced due to their lack of seats. UMNO itself was also significantly weaker, and while it won 54 parliamentary seats, there have so far been 17 defections from UMNO by MPs, who have either joined PH parties or become independents, leaving UMNO with only 37 seats. A large number of defections also occurred at
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the state assembly level. Notable UMNO defections were those of Mas Ermiyati Samsudin (former head of Puteri UMNO), Mustapha Mohamad (former trade minister), and Anifah Aman (former minister for foreign affairs).\textsuperscript{238} Other senior figures were under pressure. Musa Aman, UMNO Sabah leader, left abruptly for London following failed attempts to form a state government.\textsuperscript{239} While this was claimed to be for medical treatment, he has since been charged with corruption.\textsuperscript{240} Jamal of ‘Red-Shirts’ fame was later arrested in Indonesia and imprisoned in Malaysia.\textsuperscript{241}

The issues surrounding senior figures, and the public’s strong determination to bring Najib to justice, have led to serious questions concerning the UMNO leadership. Indeed, even its party-members were disillusioned by the extent to which the party was acting in the interests of a small minority.\textsuperscript{242} Despite this, the UMNO party assembly in June 2018 saw Najib-aligned leaders taking most leadership positions – including Zahid as UMNO president.\textsuperscript{243} Support for the party may not endure, however. Both Najib and Zahid face prosecution. Indeed, Zahid quickly stepped down following further defections.\textsuperscript{244}

Despite signs of weakness, the selection of Zahid over Khairy Jamaluddin Abu Bakar (also known as KJ) at the UMNO elections demonstrated that it may still cause problems for the PH government.\textsuperscript{245} Khairy represented a more multi-ethnic and progressive agenda, with suggestions that UMNO could be opened up to non-Malay members.\textsuperscript{246} This was rejected and, by choosing Zahid, UMNO signalled its desire to pursue an exclusivist and anti-pluralist Malay First politics, widely seen by the party-members as a conceivable path to the resumption of power.\textsuperscript{247}
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The increasing appeals to ethno-nationalism as a basis for support can be seen in three events other than the selection of Zahid at the UMNO elections. First, the discourse surrounding Najib’s innocence following his arrest emphasized race and religion. Najib ended his video response (which featured clips of him praying) by stating: «I will face all the challenges with strength. After all, Allah is all-knowing, merciful and caring».248 His day in court witnessed supporters engaging in candle-lit vigils, singing «Allah Selamatkan Kamu» (Allah save you) and heckling the attorney general for not using the Malay language.249 Second is UMNO’s growing links with PAS. In the Sungai Kandis by-election on 4 August 2018, PAS did not field a candidate and urged supporters to choose UMNO.250 Divisions within PAS concerning how strongly to align with UMNO seem to be favouring the alliance,251 as there are increasing calls for PAS to work together with UMNO from within the Islamic party.252 Finally, and linked to this, were the rallies against the introduction of ICERD. On 8 December there was Himpunan 812 (Gathering 812) where 60,000 people rallied.253 Initially, it was organised to protest against ICERD, but after the government changed its stand on this topic, Himpunan 812 became an opportunity to demonstrate Malay and Islamic unity,254 marshalling it against events such as recognizing the United Examination Certificate (a standardized test for the independent Chinese high school sector in Malaysia), bilingual street signboards, holding Oktoberfest events, and debates concerning LGBT rights, which are all seen to undermine Islamic and Malay primacy.255 As Hew noted, many of those present supported a Malay-dominated leadership and/or an Islamic-oriented government, and UMNO supporters were mobilized to highlight the Malay agenda.256 Najib and Zahid attended the rallies, as did Hadi, and while Zahid stated that Gathering 812 is not a political partnership, the rally clearly showed an attempt to build a political narrative centred on its joint ability to represent Muslims as a means of returning UMNO to
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power. As such, UMNO leadership was able to foster a growing Islamist backlash to PH’s «liberal» «anti-Islam» and «anti-Malay» government.

In December Zahid stepped down over the number of UMNO members who had left the party to join Bersatu. His replacement by Mohamad Hasan, a technocrat considered a moderate politician, was initially seen as a change towards a more democratic program, based on «nurturing a Malaysian democratic system through principled leadership as an opposition political party; raising UMNO’s credibility as a respected opposition political party; combating money politics; turning ideas into political capital and reviewing the Malay agenda». However Hasan also stated that: «The new UMNO is only for those who are clean and who will fight for and loves [sic] his/her race.» Therefore, the fight for Malay primacy has remained the core UMNO strategy, giving it continuing political relevance. This translates into the fact that UMNO, despite some weakening, still represents a danger for the PH regime. ICERD was wildly unpopular among many Malay voters. Together with other events which have angered portions of Malay society, this shows that PH is so far unable to reconcile differences over the role of Islam and Malay privileges with a progressive agenda. By focusing on ethno-nationalistic issues, UMNO cannot fail to gain support, while at the same time highlighting the weakness of the coalition. This resonates with many Malay voters. Conservative Islamic followers feel increasingly marginalized and point out the tolerance shown by the current government as the reason for their feelings of insecurity. While the means by which UMNO exerted control have largely been removed, it has managed to remain a significant issue for the ruling government and is not yet irrelevant in Malaysian politics.

4. The Economy

There has been some transformation in the economy, but the uncertainty that characterized the 2016-2017 period has not diminished. The diminishing gas and oil prices, on which much of the government revenue
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is dependent, was of concern to Malaysia. The resulting shortfall in government revenues, however, has mostly been covered by Goods and Services Tax (GST).263 There has been steady growth and an overall rise in the GDP rate of growth. According to World Bank data, Malaysian GDP dropped from to 6.007% in 2014 to 5.092% in 2015 and 4.223% in 2016, but rebounded to 5.897% in 2017.264 In 2018, the GDP rate of growth went down once again, to 4.7%. Interestingly, however, the GDP per capita rate of growth was on a steady positive trend since 2015. The rate of growth of per capita GDP, which was 2.9% in 2014, had plummeted to -13.6% in 2015 and a further -1.4% in 2016, to rebound to 4.8% and a remarkable 11.3% in 2017 and 2018 respectively.265 More generally, predictions on the economic outlook of the country were positive.266

Lower income households267 have endured spiralling costs of living, of concern even before the election. These costs are related to rising commodity prices, falling prices of saleable goods such as palm oil, and an extremely expensive property market.268 This was exacerbated by GST and the ending or reduction of commodity subsidies. This higher cost of living has only served to heighten economic vulnerabilities.269 Under Najib’s rule there were attempts to tackle this, particularly through Transformasi Nasional 2050 (TN50) – a bottom-up process to long term development – but little progress was made.270

Malaysia experienced record levels of inflation during Najib’s tenure, hitting an annual rate of 3.8% in 2017. While income inequality has decreased, Malaysia remains in the top tier of those countries suffering from inequality in Southeast Asia.271 As a consequence, there has been a significant decline in social mobility and the growth mentioned above has not seen parallel job expansion and wage increases. Furthermore, International
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Labour Organization estimates suggest that youth unemployment reached 10.8% in 2017, a marginal increase over the past three years and especially problematic for fresh university graduates.\textsuperscript{272}

Pakatan Harapan promised to solve these problems through a wide programme of reforms, and it is hoped that this might raise much of the population out of near-poverty. In the face of criticism, the coalition repealed GST as it left the government with a shortfall of revenue – estimated at RM 20 billion (US $4.9 billion).\textsuperscript{273} It was replaced with Sales and Service Tax, perceived to be equally problematic, with many questioning the difference. Another measure to tackle cost of living were proposed fuel subsidies and initiatives to build affordable housing. However, the continuing massive drop in commodity prices, such as rubber and palm oil, was creating problems for those dependent on agriculture, further alienating rural voters and preventing economic advancement for these populations. PH’s policies have done little to tackle the rising cost of living.

Race inevitably plays a role in economics just as it does in politics, and this shows no sign of changing despite expectations that PH would implement a needs-and-merit based economic policy. In an attempt to make the economy more open Lim Guan Eng affirmed an open tender policy for federal public procurement. However, the government was careful to show that it would not side-line Malay contractors.\textsuperscript{274} Dr Hwok Aun Lee argues this «continual reservation of small contracts for Bumiputera firms satisfies [some electoral constituencies]» as Bumiputera firms have always traditionally been more competitive in regards to small contracts, while non-Bumiputera firms compete for larger contracts.\textsuperscript{275} What this means in effect is that the new government is not yet broadening the ways it develops Malay competitiveness.\textsuperscript{276} The Mid-Term Review of the 11th Malaysia plan also recommitted to economically supporting Bumiputera empowerment, but signalled a new awareness of the needs of minorities.\textsuperscript{277} At the end of the day, while the government recognized the need for the introduction of a needs-based economic policy that addresses inequalities, its continuing focus on affirmative action for the Malay population negated any such progress.

One of the first moves that Dr Mahathir made after taking office was to reverse the decision on some of the huge infrastructure projects. They were perceived as being of greater financial benefit to China than Malay-
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Two such cancelled projects were the RM 55 billion (US$13.5 billion) East Coast Rail Link, and the RM 9 billion (US $2.2 billion) Multi-Product Pipeline and Trans-Sabah Gas Pipeline.²⁷⁸

There were concerns that the US-China trade war could impact on the Malaysian economy, especially if intermediate goods exports are affected or there is a slowdown in growth and trade.²⁷⁹ Lim Guan Eng has revealed that the Ministry of Finance (previously headed by Najib) has been paying 1MDB loan settlements and liabilities, and that the country’s debt is much more significant than previously announced. PH redefined national debt to include federal government debt, contingent liabilities, and lease payments for public-private projects. According to this new methodology, Malaysia now has debts in excess of RM 1 trillion (US$ 350 billion).²⁸⁰ This was used as justification to reduce spending, but has led to questioning the soundness of the Malaysian economy.

PH’s first ever tabled budget was deemed sensible and flexible, as it did not impose a further fiscal burden on the people but still provided opportunities for economic expansion.²⁸¹ The budget settled for a deficit of 3.7% of GDP, and increased spending by 10.4%, which, though, was made possible by the extra revenue related to a one-off tax refunds.²⁸² With some modification, the cash grant scheme for the underprivileged was retained. It did not necessitate the introduction of austerity, but was more restrained than hoped for, and the uncertainty of the past few years has not diminished.

5. International Relations

Malaysia’s international reputation has unquestionably suffered due to repeated «shaming» with regards the 1MDB scandal, Najib having been declared one of the most corrupt leaders in the world by Time magazine in 2016. However, the country is now viewed more positively as a result of its intention to transition to full democracy.²⁸³

²⁸¹. Ibid.
²⁸³. Much of the literature discusses Malaysia as an electoral authoritarian or hybrid state, highlighting the fact that, despite there being elections, Malaysia does not meet the requirements for democracy, as fixed by academic theory. For an introduction to this problem see William Case, ‘Electoral authoritarianism in Malaysia: trajectory shift’, The Pacific Review, Vol. 22, Issue 3, 2009, pp. 311-333.
Relations with the US have remained stable despite the realization that Malaysia has been hedging between China and the US. The two countries had elevated their ties to that of a comprehensive partnership under Obama, and, while there have been challenges posed by the election of Donald Trump, these appeared to have been managed by Najib. One of those challenges was Trump pulling out of the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP); this would have given a boost to Malaysia’s economy. However, a meeting between Najib and Trump in September 2017 seemed to restore relations. Prior to that, there had been a growing estrangement between the US and Malaysia as a result of the US Department of Justice’s investigation into Najib financial misdeeds. Cooperation between the two countries has primarily been focused on counter-terrorism. Mahathir’s return to power raised questions about whether this momentum will continue, considering that the new Malaysian premier has been critical of Trump. There have also been high level meetings between Secretary of State Mike Pompeo and Mahathir in Malaysia, and between Mat Sabu and (former) US Defense Secretary Jim Mattis.

Under Najib, relations with China were becoming closer through his pursuit of Chinese foreign direct investments (FDI) together with his willingness to buy arms from China. He also granted the Chinese navy limited access to a port in Sabah. As a result of this policy, Malaysia emerged a major beneficiary of the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). Malaysia has not been among the more vocal countries criticizing China’s policy in the South China Sea, even when Chinese ships encroached into Malaysian waters. Since Mahathir came to power, the relationship has endured, albeit Malaysia has been «cautious rebalancing» its approach towards China.

---
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The question of China’s role in Malaysia featured heavily throughout the election campaign. As aforementioned, Mahathir, once in power, asked for a re-evaluation of the major Chinese developments. No doubt, however, some of them will be allowed to be completed. Mahathir stated: «We will be friendly to China but we don’t want to be indebted to China». This new attitude was the consequence of the negativity towards China’s infrastructure investment, brought about by its financial association with the BN government. It has become clear that Malaysia will adopt a more robust approach in the South China Sea in future, with less deference being shown to China than during the Najib era. On his official visit to Japan, Mahathir announced he would be reviving his Look East policy, first introduced when he previously held office in 1981. Despite this focus on Japan, Mahathir’s visit to China was accorded equal importance.

Other relationships cultivated by Najib seemed to be suffering reversals such as those in the Islamic world, including Saudi Arabia. Najib had strengthened and expanded the connection with Saudi Arabia throughout the final two years of his tenure. Malaysia extravagantly welcomed King Salman in 2017, and this was reciprocated by a high-profile trip to Saudi Arabia by Najib. Their close relations drew attention when Najib claimed that money suspected to be from 1MDB was deposited as a donation from the Saudi royal family. While this is increasingly recognized as being false, Saudi governmental figures did in fact corroborate Najib’s claims. This close relationship between the two states became increasingly important, especially with regard to Saudi investment in Petronas and the develop-
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The biggest symbol of their cooperation was the joint anti-terrorism centre, the King Salman Center for International Peace (KSCIP). At the time then-Defence Minister Datuk Seri Hishammuddin Hussein said it was an essential development in countering extreme ideologies. In other security developments Malaysia joined a Saudi-led coalition, seen by some as a military bloc against Iran, supporting Saudi intervention in Yemen. Since then disagreements over Yemen have spurred growing scrutiny of Saudi money and influence. Key figures in the new government, such as Mat Sabu, have been critical of Saudi policies in the past, arguing that following the Saudi line so closely had undermined Malaysia’s neutrality with regards Iran. This has led to a tangible change in Malaysia-Iran relations as well as a decline in cooperation. Minister of Defence Mat Sabu closed the KSCIP and removed Malaysia’s troops from Saudi Arabia.

Subsequent meetings between Saudi Foreign Minister Adel al-Jubeir and Mahathir, during which al-Jubeir sought to reassure Mahathir that the funds given to Najib did not come from the Saudi government or royal family, have been seen as an attempt to appease Malaysian sensitivities. Saudi Arabia has also offered to cooperate with the 1MDB investigation, and has extended an invitation to Mahathir to visit the Kingdom so as to reassure the new government that its support for Najib was not malevolent. Malaysia meanwhile was focusing its efforts on neutrality and realignment with the wider Islamic world, not wishing to be too closely associated with Saudi politics. This means opportunities for increasing ties with Iran, and in particular participating in the Developing-8 (D-8) conference. Iran has already expressed an interest in Malaysia taking a greater international and regional role at a meeting between the Iranian president Hassan Rouhani and Mahathir at the UN (27 September 2018).

The final non-ASEAN relationship of interest which underwent change throughout this period was with North Korea. Until 2017 - when North Korean President Kim Jong Un’s brother was assassinated in Kuala Lumpur International Airport (KLIA) using a chemical weapon - relations
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between the two countries had been relatively close. As a consequence of the assassination, Malaysia closed its embassy in Pyongyang, as Kuala Lumpur believed the North Korean regime to be responsible for the assassination. Resulting from this, Malaysian diplomats were prevented from leaving Pyongyang. Trade was terminated, and Malaysia refused to join any North Korean events. Mahathir has since expressed his desire to re-normalize relations.

With regards its neighbours, relations with ASEAN countries have been stable while cooperation continues to grow. Mahathir chose Indonesia as the first ASEAN country to visit and while little of substance resulted from his meeting with Indonesian President Joko Widodo, signs are that it was a positive.

The exceptions to the friendly relations between Malaysia and the other ASEAN countries are represented by Kuala Lumpur relations with Naypyidaw and Singapore. In 2016, then Prime Minister Najib Razak, breaking with ASEAN non-interference policy in the domestic affairs of the member countries, sternly rebuked Myanmar for the military-led crackdown on Muslim Rohingyas. He went so far as to accuse Naypyidaw of genocide. Since then Malaysia had been highly critical of Myanmar. This policy did not change after Najib’s fall from power, as shown by the fact that Mahathir accused Myanmar’s de facto leader Aung San Suu Kyi to «defend the indefensible» over the atrocities committed by the country’s military against Rohingya Muslims (13 November 2018).

While relations with Singapore were good under Najib, they have begun to suffer under Mahathir. «The people of Singapore - Mahathir observed, during an interview with a well-known British daily - like the people of Malaysia, must be tired of having the same government, the same party...»

310. ‘Malaysia planning to shut embassy in North Korea in latest sign of frayed relations’, South China Morning Post, 14 October, 2017.
311. ‘Malaysia further downgrading ties with North Korea a year after airport assassination: sources’, Reuters, 13 February 2018.
312. ‘Malaysia PM expresses readiness to normalize ties with North Korea’, Kyodo News, 26 June 2018.
since independence».316 This criticism has been coupled by actions which could not but be negatively received by Singapore, such as the cancellation of a high-speed rail link between the two countries, and a return to the controversial question of the price Singapore pays for Malaysian water (a sensitive issue considering Singapore is not yet self-sufficient in its water supplies).317 Tensions over port access, resulting in an open dispute, have worsened relations considerably and created even further uncertainty.318
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