CENTRO STUDI PER I POPOLI EXTRA-EUROPEI "CESARE BONACOSSA" - UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA



ASIA MAIOR

Vol. XXX / 2019

Asia in 2019: Escalating international tensions and authoritarian involution

Edited by Michelguglielmo Torri Nicola Mocci Filippo Boni



CENTRO STUDI PER I POPOLI EXTRA-EUROPEI "CESARE BONACOSSA" - UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

ASIA MAIOR

The Journal of the Italian think tank on Asia founded by Giorgio Borsa in 1989 Vol. XXX / 2019

Asia in 2019: Escalating international tensions and authoritarian involution

Edited by Michelguglielmo Torri Nicola Mocci Filippo Boni

viella

Asia Maior. The Journal of the Italian Think Tank on Asia founded by Giorgio Borsa in 1989. Copyright © 2020 - Viella s.r.l. & Associazione Asia Maior

ISBN 978-88-3313-490-1 (Paper) ISBN 978-88-3313-491-8 (Online) ISSN 2385-2526 (Paper) ISSN 2612-6680 (Online) Annual journal - Vol. XXX, 2019

This journal is published jointly by the think tank Asia Maior (Associazione Asia Maior) & the CSPE - Centro Studi per i Popoli extra-europei «Cesare Bonacossa», University of Pavia

Asia Maior. The Journal of the Italian Think Tank on Asia founded by Giorgio Borsa in 1989 is an open-access journal, whose issues and single articles can be freely downloaded from the think tank webpage: www.asiamaior.org. The reference year is the one on which the analyses of the volume are focused. Each *Asia Maior* volume is always published in the year following the one indicated on the cover

Paper version	Italy	€ 50.00	Abroad	€ 65.00
Subscription	abbonam	enti@viella.it	www.viella.it	

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-chief (direttore responsabile): Michelguglielmo Torri, University of Turin.

Co-editors:

Nicola Mocci, University of Sassari. Filippo Boni, The Open University.

Associate editors:

Axel Berkofsky, University of Pavia;
Diego Maiorano, National University of Singapore, ISAS - Institute of South Asian Studies;
Giulio Pugliese, King's College London;
Elena Valdameri, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology - ETH Zurich;
Pierluigi Valsecchi, University of Pavia.

Consulting editors:

Elisabetta Basile, University of Rome «Sapienza»; Kerry Brown, King's College London; Peter Brian Ramsay Carey, Oxford University; Rosa Caroli, University of Venice; Jaewoo Choo, Kyung Hee University (Seoul, South Korea); Jamie Seth Davidson, National University of Singapore; Ritu Dewan, Indian Association for Women Studies: Laura De Giorgi, University of Venice; Kevin Hewison, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Lucia Husenicova, University Matej Bel (Banská Bystrica, Slovakia); David C. Kang, Maria Crutcher Professor of International Relations, University of Southern California; Rohit Karki, Kathmandu School of Law: Jeff Kingston, Temple University – Japan Campus; Mirjam Künkler, Swedish Collegium for Advanced Study - Uppsala; Noemi Lanna. University of Naples «L'Orientale»: James Manor, School of Advanced Studies - University of London; Aditya Mukherjee, Jawaharlal Nehru University; Mridula Mukherjee, Jawaharlal Nehru University; Parimala Rao, University of Delhi; Guido Samarani, University of Venice; Marisa Siddivò, University of Naples «L'Orientale»; Eswaran Sridharan, Institute for the Advanced Study of India, University of Pennsylvania; Arun Swamy, University of Guam; Akio Takahara, University of Tokio; Edsel Tupaz, Harvard University alumnus, Ateneo de Manila University and Far Eastern University; Sten Widmalm, Uppsala University; Ather Zia, University of Northern Colorado;

Book reviews editors:

Francesca Congiu, University of Cagliari; Oliviero Frattolillo, University Roma Tre.

Graphic project:

Nicola Mocci, University of Sassari.

Before being published in Asia Maior, all articles, whether commissioned or unsolicited, after being first evaluated by the Journal's editors, are then submitted to a double-blind peer review involving up to three anonymous referees. Coherently with the double-blind peer review process, Asia Maior does not make public the name of the reviewers. However, the reviewers' names – and, if need be, the whole correspondence between the journal's editors and the reviewer/s – can be disclosed to interested institutions, upon a formal request made directly to the Editor in Chief of the journal.

Articles meant for publication should be sent to Michelguglielmo Torri (mg. torri@gmail.com), Nicola Mocci (nmocci@uniss.it) and Filippo Boni (filippo. boni@open.ac.uk); book reviews should be sent to Oliviero Frattolillo (oliviero.frattolillo@uniroma3.it) and Francesca Congiu (fcongiu@unica.it).

Asia Maior

Associazione Asia Maior

Steering Committe: Marzia Casolari (President), Francesca Congiu, Diego Maiorano, Nicola Mocci (Vice President), Michelguglielmo Torri (Scientific Director).

Scientific Board: Guido Abbattista (Università di Trieste), Domenico Amirante (Università «Federico II», Napoli), Elisabetta Basile (Università «La Sapienza», Roma), Luigi Bonanate (Università di Torino), Claudio Cecchi (Università «La Sapienza», Roma), Alessandro Colombo (Università di Milano), Anton Giulio Maria de Robertis (Università di Bari), Thierry Di Costanzo (Université de Strasbourg), Max Guderzo (Università di Firenze), Franco Mazzei (Università «L'Orientale», Napoli), Giorgio Milanetti (Università «La Sapienza», Roma), Paolo Puddinu (Università di Sassari), Adriano Rossi (Università «L'Orientale», Napoli), Giuseppe Sacco (Università «Roma Tre», Roma), Guido Samarani (Università «Ca' Foscari», Venezia), Filippo Sabetti (McGill Università della Calabria)



CSPE - Centro Studi per i Popoli extra-europei "Cesare Bonacossa" - Università di Pavia

Steering Committee: Axel Berkofsky, Arturo Colombo, Antonio Morone, Giulia Rossolillo, Gianni Vaggi, Pierluigi Valsecchi (President), Massimo Zaccaria.



libreria editrice via delle Alpi, 32 I-00198 ROMA tel. 06 84 17 758 fax 06 85 35 39 60 www.viella.it

viella

Contents

- 9 MICHELGUGLIELMO TORRI, NICOLA MOCCI & FILIPPO BONI, Foreword. Asia in 2019: The escalation of the US-China contraposition, and the authoritarian involution of Asian societies
- 25 SILVIA MENEGAZZI, China 2019: Xi Jinping's new era and the CPC's agenda
- 47 BARBARA ONNIS, China's 2019: Xi Jinping's tireless summit diplomacy amid growing challenges
- 73 AXEL BERKOFSKY, Mongolia 2019: Crisis, obstacles & achievements on the domestic, economic and foreign policy fronts
- 93 MARCO MILANI, Korean peninsula 2019: The year of missed opportunities
- 125 GIULIO PUGLIESE & SEBASTIAN MASLOW, Japan 2019: Inaugurating a new era?
- 163 ANGELA TRITTO & ALKAN ABDULKADIR, Hong Kong 2019: Anatomy of a social mobilisation through the lenses of identity and values
- 185 AURELIO INSISA, Taiwan 2019 and the 2020 elections: Tsai Ing-Wen's Triumph
- 215 SOL IGLESIAS & LALA ORDENES, The Philippines 2018-2019: Authoritarian consolidation under Duterte
- 241 RUI GRAÇA FEIJÓ, Timor-Leste 1945-2019: From an almost forgotten colony to the first democratic nation of the 21st century
- 267 SALEENA SALEEM, Malaysia 2019: The politics of fear and UMNO's renewed relevance
- 287 NICOLA MOCCI, Vietnam 2019: Pursuing harmonious labour relations and consolidating its reliable international role
- 311 MATTEO FUMAGALLI, Myanmar 2019: «The Lady and the generals» redux?
- 327 DIEGO MAIORANO, India 2019: The general election and the new Modi wave
- 345 MICHELGUGLIELMO TORRI, India 2019: Assaulting the world's largest democracy; building a kingdom of cruelty and fear
- 397 YOGESH JOSHI, India 2019: Foreign policy dilemmas and their domestic roots
- 419 MATTEO MIELE, Nepal 2019: Attempts at mediation in domestic and foreign policies
- 435 FILIPPO BONI, Afghanistan 2019: Between peace talks and presidential elections, another year of uncertainty
- 451 MARCO CORSI, Pakistan 2019: The challenges of the first PTI government
- 473 PAOLO SORBELLO, Kazakhstan 2018-2019: Change and continuity amid economic stagnation
- 491 Reviews
- 523 Appendix

When this Asia Maior issue was finalized and the Covid-19 pandemic raged throughout the world, Kian Zaccara, Greta Maiorano and Giulio Santi, all children of Asia Maior authors (Luciano Zaccara, Diego Maiorano and Silvia Menegazzi), were born. We (the Asia Maior editors) have seen that as a manifestation of Life, reasserting itself in front of Thanatos. It is for this reason that we dedicate this issue to Kian, Greta and Giulio, with the fond hope that they will live in a better world than the one devastated by the Covid-19 pandemic.

FEDERALISM IN INDIA: QUESTIONS ABOUT STATE CAPACITY AND NATIONAL POLICY

RFI Smith Formerly RMIT University, Melbourne rfismith@ozemail.com.au

Louise Tillin, *Indian Federalism*, New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2019, 184 pp. (ISBN 978-0-19-949561-0).

This is an indispensable introduction to the phenomenon of Indian federalism. The drafters of the constitution made no mention of federalism; in the tragic circumstances of partition they preferred an explicitly strong Union. But trends in subsequent practice have been visibly federal. As the author makes clear, they have also been anything but linear. A description in the book from a serving Union minister is apt: «Comprehensive, Cooperative, Collaborative, Competitive, Consensual and Compassionate Federalism». Readers will find that even such a wide ranging characterisation is by no means exhaustive.

The book has four main strands: India's federal model and constitutional design; federalism and diversity; centre-state relations; and federalism and the economy. Examination of these strands raises significant questions about state capacity and approaches to the development and implementation of authoritative national policy.

The book starts with an insightful account of the impacts of the extensive concurrent central and state responsibilities set out in the constitution. The sharing of responsibilities was intended to encourage both levels to work together. Measures such as the design of the all India civil service cadres, in which officials are allocated to particular states but also available to be called to the centre, were intended to reinforce links between levels of government.

The approach is described as «cooperative federalism». But as other federations have also found, cooperation depends on more than constitutional design. A second dimension of «cooperative federalism» includes measures to complement constitutional design by regulating vertical and horizontal interaction between units of government. The book's exploration of this dimension includes the roles of the former Planning Commission in setting national goals, approaches of the periodic Finance Commissions in managing fiscal federalism, and the management of linguistic and other diversity by adjusting state borders.

However, readers familiar with intergovernmental relations in other federations will notice an absence. The Inter-State Council, GST Council and NITI Aayog, each with heavy-weight representatives from both levels of government, flit through the narrative like wraiths. The reason is that the latter two are still feeling their way and the Inter-State Council, set up pursuant to the constitution by a short lived non-Congress government, has rarely had sustained political support.

It is argued persuasively that for much of the time the most influential agent of coordination has been the party system. When the governing party in the centre holds sway also in many of the states, as Congress did for many years, intergovernmental relations has tended to be handled as an internal party matter. But as the book shows, too firm a hand at the centre can promote defection in the regions. This was a factor in the decline of the Congress Party. The prominence of central control in the BJP together with its patchy performance in recent state elections make questions about the efficacy of the party system in federal coordination of continuing relevance. In these circumstances a trend to watch out for will be the emergence of one or more of the bodies mentioned above as authoritative forums for intergovernmental negotiation.

The chapter on federalism and the economy brings into sharp relief the sheer variety of trends and tensions in federal practice. In contrast to the rest of the book it is unruly. However this reflects no discredit on the author. The subject matter is hard to pin down. Instances of centralisation, devolution, liberalisation, bureaucratic regulation, incentives, standard setting, evaluation, rankings, coercion, competition, negotiation, rent seeking, bypassing, backflips and recourse to the courts are prominent in patterns that do not stand still.

Liberalisation of industrial licensing by the centre in the 1990s has not been followed by policy directions in which both levels of government have a stake. Nor have experiments in which states go their own way proved sustainable. Although the states have responsibilities for topics critical for social and economic development, the centre continues to promulgate schemes which the states are supposed to implement. However, as the author tellingly demonstrates, while states have limited opportunities and capability to influence central schemes they retain the ability to «interpret» how they go about implementation. Issues of diversity and asymmetry elided in New Delhi tend to re-emerge in how officials apply and citizens experience centrally mandated policy in the regions.

Two approaches to the gap between formulation and implementation stand out. One, is to redouble efforts to specify, control and evaluate what state administrations do. This is often visible in the initiatives of central ministries and authorities. Another is to bypass state administrations by using digital technology to deliver benefits direct to citizens. The Modi government has made extensive use of this approach. However other conclusions are also possible. They include rethinking policy making so that the people in the states who have to implement decisions have a say in expected results and how they are to be achieved. They include also providing opportunities for citizens and stakeholders to contribute to how policy is made. The analysis in the book suggests that for such conclusions to be drawn there would have to be radical departures from existing federal practice. However the book's probing of questions of state capacity and approaches to national policy suggests also that the case for such departures cannot be ignored.