CENTRO STUDI PER I POPOLI EXTRA-EUROPEI "CESARE BONACOSSA" - UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA



# **ASIA MAIOR**

Vol. XXX / 2019

# Asia in 2019: Escalating international tensions and authoritarian involution

Edited by Michelguglielmo Torri Nicola Mocci Filippo Boni



CENTRO STUDI PER I POPOLI EXTRA-EUROPEI "CESARE BONACOSSA" - UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

# ASIA MAIOR

The Journal of the Italian think tank on Asia founded by Giorgio Borsa in 1989 Vol. XXX / 2019

# Asia in 2019: Escalating international tensions and authoritarian involution

Edited by Michelguglielmo Torri Nicola Mocci Filippo Boni

viella

Asia Maior. The Journal of the Italian Think Tank on Asia founded by Giorgio Borsa in 1989. Copyright © 2020 - Viella s.r.l. & Associazione Asia Maior

ISBN 978-88-3313-490-1 (Paper) ISBN 978-88-3313-491-8 (Online) ISSN 2385-2526 (Paper) ISSN 2612-6680 (Online) Annual journal - Vol. XXX, 2019

This journal is published jointly by the think tank Asia Maior (Associazione Asia Maior) & the CSPE - Centro Studi per i Popoli extra-europei «Cesare Bonacossa», University of Pavia

*Asia Maior. The Journal of the Italian Think Tank on Asia founded by Giorgio Borsa in 1989* is an open-access journal, whose issues and single articles can be freely downloaded from the think tank webpage: www.asiamaior.org. The reference year is the one on which the analyses of the volume are focused. Each *Asia Maior* volume is always published in the year following the one indicated on the cover

Paper version	Italy	€ 50.00	Abroad	€ 65.00
Subscription	abbonam	enti@viella.it	www.viella.it	

### EDITORIAL BOARD

**Editor-in-chief** (direttore responsabile): Michelguglielmo Torri, University of Turin.

#### **Co-editors:**

Nicola Mocci, University of Sassari. Filippo Boni, The Open University.

#### Associate editors:

Axel Berkofsky, University of Pavia;
Diego Maiorano, National University of Singapore, ISAS - Institute of South Asian Studies;
Giulio Pugliese, King's College London;
Elena Valdameri, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology - ETH Zurich;
Pierluigi Valsecchi, University of Pavia.

#### **Consulting editors:**

Elisabetta Basile, University of Rome «Sapienza»; Kerry Brown, King's College London; Peter Brian Ramsay Carey, Oxford University; Rosa Caroli, University of Venice; Jaewoo Choo, Kyung Hee University (Seoul, South Korea); Jamie Seth Davidson, National University of Singapore; Ritu Dewan, Indian Association for Women Studies: Laura De Giorgi, University of Venice; Kevin Hewison, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Lucia Husenicova, University Matej Bel (Banská Bystrica, Slovakia); David C. Kang, Maria Crutcher Professor of International Relations, University of Southern California; Rohit Karki, Kathmandu School of Law: Jeff Kingston, Temple University – Japan Campus; Mirjam Künkler, Swedish Collegium for Advanced Study - Uppsala; Noemi Lanna. University of Naples «L'Orientale»: James Manor, School of Advanced Studies - University of London; Aditya Mukherjee, Jawaharlal Nehru University; Mridula Mukherjee, Jawaharlal Nehru University; Parimala Rao, University of Delhi; Guido Samarani, University of Venice; Marisa Siddivò, University of Naples «L'Orientale»; Eswaran Sridharan, Institute for the Advanced Study of India, University of Pennsylvania; Arun Swamy, University of Guam; Akio Takahara, University of Tokio; Edsel Tupaz, Harvard University alumnus, Ateneo de Manila University and Far Eastern University; Sten Widmalm, Uppsala University; Ather Zia, University of Northern Colorado;

### **Book reviews editors:**

Francesca Congiu, University of Cagliari; Oliviero Frattolillo, University Roma Tre.

#### **Graphic project:**

Nicola Mocci, University of Sassari.

Before being published in Asia Maior, all articles, whether commissioned or unsolicited, after being first evaluated by the Journal's editors, are then submitted to a double-blind peer review involving up to three anonymous referees. Coherently with the double-blind peer review process, Asia Maior does not make public the name of the reviewers. However, the reviewers' names – and, if need be, the whole correspondence between the journal's editors and the reviewer/s – can be disclosed to interested institutions, upon a formal request made directly to the Editor in Chief of the journal.

Articles meant for publication should be sent to Michelguglielmo Torri (mg. torri@gmail.com), Nicola Mocci (nmocci@uniss.it) and Filippo Boni (filippo. boni@open.ac.uk); book reviews should be sent to Oliviero Frattolillo (oliviero.frattolillo@uniroma3.it) and Francesca Congiu (fcongiu@unica.it).

Asia Maior

Associazione Asia Maior

Steering Committe: Marzia Casolari (President), Francesca Congiu, Diego Maiorano, Nicola Mocci (Vice President), Michelguglielmo Torri (Scientific Director).

Scientific Board: Guido Abbattista (Università di Trieste), Domenico Amirante (Università «Federico II», Napoli), Elisabetta Basile (Università «La Sapienza», Roma), Luigi Bonanate (Università di Torino), Claudio Cecchi (Università «La Sapienza», Roma), Alessandro Colombo (Università di Milano), Anton Giulio Maria de Robertis (Università di Bari), Thierry Di Costanzo (Université de Strasbourg), Max Guderzo (Università di Firenze), Franco Mazzei (Università «L'Orientale», Napoli), Giorgio Milanetti (Università «La Sapienza», Roma), Paolo Puddinu (Università di Sassari), Adriano Rossi (Università «L'Orientale», Napoli), Giuseppe Sacco (Università «Roma Tre», Roma), Guido Samarani (Università «Ca' Foscari», Venezia), Filippo Sabetti (McGill Università della Calabria)



CSPE - Centro Studi per i Popoli extra-europei "Cesare Bonacossa" - Università di Pavia

Steering Committee: Axel Berkofsky, Arturo Colombo, Antonio Morone, Giulia Rossolillo, Gianni Vaggi, Pierluigi Valsecchi (President), Massimo Zaccaria.



*libreria editrice* via delle Alpi, 32 I-00198 ROMA tel. 06 84 17 758 fax 06 85 35 39 60 www.viella.it

viella

### Contents

- 9 MICHELGUGLIELMO TORRI, NICOLA MOCCI & FILIPPO BONI, Foreword. Asia in 2019: The escalation of the US-China contraposition, and the authoritarian involution of Asian societies
- 25 SILVIA MENEGAZZI, China 2019: Xi Jinping's new era and the CPC's agenda
- 47 BARBARA ONNIS, China's 2019: Xi Jinping's tireless summit diplomacy amid growing challenges
- 73 AXEL BERKOFSKY, Mongolia 2019: Crisis, obstacles & achievements on the domestic, economic and foreign policy fronts
- 93 MARCO MILANI, Korean peninsula 2019: The year of missed opportunities
- 125 GIULIO PUGLIESE & SEBASTIAN MASLOW, Japan 2019: Inaugurating a new era?
- 163 ANGELA TRITTO & ALKAN ABDULKADIR, Hong Kong 2019: Anatomy of a social mobilisation through the lenses of identity and values
- 185 AURELIO INSISA, Taiwan 2019 and the 2020 elections: Tsai Ing-Wen's Triumph
- 215 SOL IGLESIAS & LALA ORDENES, The Philippines 2018-2019: Authoritarian consolidation under Duterte
- 241 RUI GRAÇA FEIJÓ, Timor-Leste 1945-2019: From an almost forgotten colony to the first democratic nation of the 21<sup>st</sup> century
- 267 SALEENA SALEEM, Malaysia 2019: The politics of fear and UMNO's renewed relevance
- 287 NICOLA MOCCI, Vietnam 2019: Pursuing harmonious labour relations and consolidating its reliable international role
- 311 MATTEO FUMAGALLI, Myanmar 2019: «The Lady and the generals» redux?
- 327 DIEGO MAIORANO, India 2019: The general election and the new Modi wave
- 345 MICHELGUGLIELMO TORRI, India 2019: Assaulting the world's largest democracy; building a kingdom of cruelty and fear
- 397 YOGESH JOSHI, India 2019: Foreign policy dilemmas and their domestic roots
- 419 MATTEO MIELE, Nepal 2019: Attempts at mediation in domestic and foreign policies
- 435 FILIPPO BONI, Afghanistan 2019: Between peace talks and presidential elections, another year of uncertainty
- 451 MARCO CORSI, Pakistan 2019: The challenges of the first PTI government
- 473 PAOLO SORBELLO, Kazakhstan 2018-2019: Change and continuity amid economic stagnation
- 491 Reviews
- 523 Appendix

When this Asia Maior issue was finalized and the Covid-19 pandemic raged throughout the world, Kian Zaccara, Greta Maiorano and Giulio Santi, all children of Asia Maior authors (Luciano Zaccara, Diego Maiorano and Silvia Menegazzi), were born. We (the Asia Maior editors) have seen that as a manifestation of Life, reasserting itself in front of Thanatos. It is for this reason that we dedicate this issue to Kian, Greta and Giulio, with the fond hope that they will live in a better world than the one devastated by the Covid-19 pandemic.

## A COLONIAL MONUMENT DE-CONSTRUCTED: MAJEED ON GRIERSON'S LINGUISTIC SURVEY OF INDIA

Silvia Tieri King's College London silvia.tieri@kcl.ac.uk

Javed Majeed, Nation and Region in Grierson's Linguistic Survey of India, London: Routledge, 2018, 230 pp., (ISBN 9780429439223).

Javed Majeed, Colonialism and Knowledge in Grierson's Linguistic Survey of India, London: Routledge, 2018, 266 pp., (ISBN 9780429439230).

Dubbed by its contemporaries «monumental», G.A. Grierson's *Linguistic Survey of India* (LSI) was published between 1903 and 1928. It soon became one of the symbols of British colonialism in India, of its knowledge production endeavour, and of its supposed scientific modernity. It possibly remains the most comprehensive study of India's linguistic landscape ever undertaken till date. In the two volumes under review – *Nation and Region in Grierson's Linguistic Survey of India* and *Colonialism and Knowledge in Grierson's Linguistic Survey of India* – Javed Majeed proposes an analysis of the LSI with a view to unpack its underlying historical, epistemological and philosophical underpinnings.

Majeed analyses the content of the LSI, the methods and processes that brought it to light, and the aim his author(s), commissioning authorities, and myriad recipients attached to it. In this way, the LSI unravels and turns into a historical archive; a linguistics manifesto; a field where power relations between its British author, his Indians interlocutors, and the government are negotiated; and the portrait of a man – G.A. Grierson – and his multiple identities. Through his analysis of Grierson's *opus magnum* Majeed lies in front of the reader a LSI deconstructed according to the categories of «region», «nation», and «power», thus highlighting the frictions, contradictions and self-aware limitations concealed under its «monumentality».

Colonialism and Knowledge demonstrates that, as the master of a colonial project of knowledge production, Grierson was highly indebted to the contributions of his Indian collaborators. His deep engagement with Indians and India's languages brought him to believe in his ability to see things through Indian eyes. To understand the ways Grierson related to India and Indians, Majeed integrates the data provided by the Survey and the wide mail correspondence attached to it with a fine analysis of Grierson's «triply hyphenated identity»<sup>1</sup> that intersected his profession of linguist and his experience of physical illness. He demonstrates that Grierson came to oc-

1. Colonialism and Knowledge, p. 94.

cupy an in-between space, where his *Britishness* and *Indianness* contradicted and integrated each other and challenged colonial clear-cut notions of race, privilege, and power relations. Majeed also reveals Grierson's awareness of the existence of ambiguities and limitations in his Survey, thus complicating the LSI's positioning vis-à-vis colonial stereotypes of scientific certainty.

In *Nation and Region* we find Grierson engaged in a network of connections with the advocates of various linguistic groups, thus playing an important role in influencing the regionalization of many of India's linguistic identities. At the same time, Majeed points out that this «regionalising strand»<sup>2</sup> of the LSI appears to be clashing with Grierson's rejection of neat linguistic boundaries as envisioned by colonial and Indian nationalist mappings. In fact, Grierson believed that «Indian languages gradually merge into each other».<sup>3</sup> Against other European linguists, he also resisted the imperative to force Indian languages into Western paradigms which had been moulded based on European languages alone.

Majeed's discussion of Grierson's ideas of Aryanism and Semitism as they surface through the LSI provides a compelling section of the book. Grierson, who as a linguist focused on Indo-European languages, identified «Aryan» India with the zenith of Indian civilization; this, Majeed argues, brought him to conceive «Hindu», «Aryan», and «Indian» as almost synonyms, and to conceptualize Islam as a degenerative graft onto India's authentic essence. In this way, Majeed uncovers remarkable similarities between Grierson's language and that of Hindu nationalism. At the same time, he highlights that in Grierson's discourse Christianity aligns with Aryanism, while Hindutva conceives Christianity and Islam as equally foreign and polluting.

Throughout the volume, Majeed exposes these and more frictions internal to the LSI, inviting the reader «to think of the LSI as a project with many different strands and narratives, some of which were in tension with each other».<sup>4</sup>

Because the LSI soon established itself as a landmark study of India's languages, and because language has been a critical category in the politics of the subcontinent till date, the relevance and influence of the LSI extends well beyond the colonial period.

For example, Majeed demonstrates that the Census provided a major *trait d'union* between the LSI and post-coloniality. Grierson's study had considerable influence on the Census's understanding of India as a sum of linguistic regions. And, in turn, the institution of the Census cast a long shadow on Indian domestic politics: the internal reorganization of India on linguistic basis, and contemporary electoral and caste politics provide clear examples.

- 2. Nation and Region, p. 45.
- 3. Ibid., p. 56.
- 4. Ibid., p. 6.

Even in contemporary South Asia, Grierson's colonial exploration has remained a source of authority linguistic movements kept having recourse to, with a view to support their linguistic pleas and political goals. This is, for example, the case of Siraiki. Majeed reconstructs how Grierson single-mindedly sought to establish Siraiki's differentiation from Punjabi as «a separate and distinct language»,<sup>5</sup> consequently influencing the census authority's approach to the two languages. As a result, in contemporary Pakistan, the Saraiki movement has invoked Grierson's LSI to back its claim of Siraiki's separateness from Punjabi language, a claim instrumental to the achievement of political and economic separation of Siraikistan from the province of Punjab, based on allegations of internal colonialism. Thus, the LSI and Majeed's study of the same are important references for understanding not only colonial but also contemporary South Asian affairs.

As Majeed's analysis unfolds, the LSI gets contextualised within British and Indian events of its time, the then ongoing trends in colonial administration and linguistics research, as well as Grierson's personality and life. In this way, from the monolithic monument that it initially appeared to be, the LSI progressively acquires in the eyes of the reader the semblance of a labyrinth; but Majeed unpacks its complexity, making even the hardest passages accessible to the reader through a consistently structured and clear prose.

Because of the myriad angles through which they approach the LSI and Grierson's scholarship, *Nation and Region* and *Colonialism and Knowledge* are recommended readings for advanced students and researchers across disciplines. In particular, the two volumes are a must-read for those focusing on the history and politics of language and of the British Empire in South Asia, and their connected notions of race, civilization, and nationalism. Those studying and researching the institution of the Census and the role of language in the post-colonial politics of the subcontinent will find Majeed's multi-layered analysis of Grierson's «monumental» LSI equally beneficial and fascinating.